

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/890,447	AKIYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

**All Participants:**

(1) Susan Hanley.

(2) Royal Ronning.

**Status of Application:** amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 March 2005
**Time:** 3:00
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

102(b)

**Claims discussed:**

5, 7 and 13

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Muller, Jensen

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Mr. Ronning agreed to the cancellation of the non-elected structures in claim 5 and claims 19-22, 24, 29, 36-39, 45-50, 61, 62, 64, 68, 75, 76, 81 and 83. The changes will be executed by an Examiner's amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)